

Dear Friends of Gillian McCarthy (update 11.07.07),

Note: If you find any of the given emails bouncing then please check the MCS International News Page on Gillian at: <http://www.mcs-international.org/news.html> for updated addresses

Gillian is facing eviction from The Stop Gap unless she appeals within the next 3 weeks. She has neither the energy nor the resources to do so.

Please write to those people/organisations you think might have some beneficial influence on her appalling situation. The attached MS-Word document is repeated below as a text file, as are the address details of some people you might like to write to. Thank you.

Please write letters of support (2 pages or less) to:
Media & Authorities Contacts - in Preferential Order.

1) The South West Peninsular Strategic Health Authority
Wellspring Road
Taunton Somerset
TA2
Tel: 01823 333491
Fax: 01823 344352
Chief Executive: Sir Ian Carruthers
E-mail: go@southwest.nhs.uk
Chairman: Sir Michael Pitt
E-mail: go@southwest.nhs.uk

2) Somerset Primary Care Trust
Chard Office
Chataway House
Chard Business Park
Leach Road, Chard
TA20 1FR
Tel: 01460 238600
Fax: 01460 238699
Chief Executive: Ian Tipney
E-mail: headquarters@somersetpct.nhs.uk
Chairman: Mrs. J. Barrie OBE
E-mail: headquarters@somersetpct.nhs.uk"PCT
""Expert"" Adviser: "
Dr Michael J Radcliffe
1 Leigh Road
Highfield
Southampton
SO17 1EF
Tel: 01962 826127
Fax: 0238 0671677

E-mail: radcliffe@clara.co.uk

Dr Michael J Radcliffe
The Allergy Clinic
Sarum Road
Winchester
SO22 5HA

3) South Somerset District Council

Brympton Way
Yeovil Somerset
BA20 2HT
tel: 01935 462462
Chief Executive: Mr. Phil Dowlan
E-mail: philipdowlan@southsomerset.gov.uk
Chairman: Mr Dave Green
Tel: 01935 414243
Councillor: Ric Pallister O.B.E.
6 Barons Court
East Chinnock
Yeovil, Somerset
BA22 9EJ
Tel: 01935 863897
E-mail: pallister@ukonline.co.uk

4) Somerset County Council

County Hall
Taunton Somerset
TA1 4DY
Tel: 0845 345 9166
Chief Executive: Alan Jones
E-mail: alanjones@somerset.gov.uk
Head of Social Services: Miriam Maddison
E-mail: m.madison@somerset.gov.uk
Chairman: Alan Gloak
E-mail: afgloak@somerset.gov.uk
Strategic Development Manager: Dr Michael Patrick
E-mail: mjpatrick@somerset.gov.uk
Tel: 01823 364913

5) M.P. David Heath CBE

House of Commons
London
SW1A 0AA
Tel: 0207 219 3000 (enquiries)
Email: davidheath@davidheath.co.uk

6) Mr Neil Parish MEP

House of Commons
London
SW1 0AA
or alternatively
Mr Neil Parish
16 Northgate
"Bridgewater, Somerset"
TA6 3EU
E-mail: nparishmep@bridgewest.daemon.co.uk

7) Lord Morris of Manchester
House of Lords
London
SW1 8 PW
"start letter - ""My Lord"""

8) Jessica Rees-Mogg
Conservative MP Candidate
(Iain Duncan-Smith has written to her about Gillian)
C/O Somerton & Frome
Conservative Association
7 Priory Road, Wells
Somerset, BA5 1SR
Tel: 01749 673146
Fax: 01749 670783
Email: areesmogg@sfca.org.uk

9) Disability Now Magazine
The Editor
6 Market Road
London
N7 9PW
tel: 0207 619 7100
fax: 0207 619 7331
e-mail: john.pring@scope.org.uk

10) The Editor / The Newsdesk
Western Daily Press
Temple Way
Bristol
BS99 7HD
01179 343000
wd@bepp.co.uk

11) The Editor / The Newsdesk
Bristol Evening Post
Temple Way

Bristol
BS99 7HD
01179 343000
ep@bepp.co.uk

12) Western Morning News - Newsdesk
17 Brest Road
Berriford Business Park
Plymouth
PL6 5AA
wmnnewsdesk@wmn.co.uk
01752 765538

13) Western Gazette
Sherbourne Road
Yeovil
BA21 4YA
01935 700500
editor@westgaz.co.uk
ITN News At Ten (who showed Gillian in the tent at West
Bexington after it had been blown down by Hurricane Lilly in 1996)
Reporter: Adrian Brittain
0207 833 3000

. .and any other national or local press you feel able to contact. Thank You.

Save Gillian McCarthy Letter-Writing Appeal

Authorities and News Editors do take note of public concerns

and numbers count!

The Background To Gillian's McCarthy's Appalling Situation:

Ten and a half years ago, after a long search for a proper diagnosis and suitable treatment, which included 2 1/2 years as an in-patient in hospitals which cost Gillian her home and her parents their life savings, the authorities in Somerset agreed to provide Gillian with the necessary medical treatment including low-chemical housing, for which they received government funding. Housing is part of that treatment and is intended to facilitate recovery and not just to provide accommodation. They also agreed to provide supportive and specialised home care which despite a judicial review, they later withdrew.

The Leader of the District Council referred to it on TV as 'An elite project' and Gillian was moved by popular TV presenter, Noel Edmunds in his helicopter (at great risk to her health). She spent the coldest winter for 30 years in an army tent and subsequently in the still un-repaired 50-year-old huts. Because the authorities accepted that building work could not go on in close proximity to

Gillian, but also it would be too dangerous to move her in a vehicle again, the huts were placed a mile away from the intended permanent site, away from the building fumes but near enough to transport her without necessitating her travelling in a vehicle. They have conveniently forgotten this. They researched suitable locations throughout Somerset before selecting these locations.

A specialist doctor and the Government Grant providers were assured she would be in the huts for a maximum of 5 1/2 months while the special house was being built - 10 1/2 years on, she is still existing in the unheated collapsing leaking huts with no proper water, no access to electricity, no medical or dental treatment or home care.

Had the authorities done what they promised she would by now have been living for a decade in the special house, screened from view by a beautiful garden and almost certainly well enough to work from home and enjoy a reasonable quality of life and relatively pain-free existence. Instead she is living in agonising "limbo" unable to resume her place as a useful member of society.

What has happened?

1. Medical treatment was ceased in 1998, not on medical grounds but due to a combination of administrative changes, retiring doctors and concerted attempts by the Health Authority to rewrite her medical history and tamper with Gillian's medical notes to trivialise her condition. They have removed proof of the severity of her poisoning, her head injuries and much of the records of subsequent multiple major surgery has been removed. They have ignored recent privately funded blood tests, which support the severity of Gillian's condition and provide evidence of her deterioration. They have also ignored their own advisers when it suits them including Senior Special-needs Dentists, the county's Chief Scientific Officer, Occupational Therapists, District Nurse and others. Gillian should not have to keep re-proving her illness. She should not have to fight for the quality of accommodation which they have agreed to.

All treatment for anything, including injuries has been stopped i.e. Withholding treatment on the grounds of disability is against Department of Health rules. They accept Gillian has Multiple Chemical Sensitivity and other disabilities (The World Health Organisation International Classification of Diseases Code T78.4 for Chemical Sensitivity Syndrome, Multiple, which includes Electro Sensitivity). They accept that Gillian has been poisoned by agricultural-chemicals and has multiple chemical sensitivity (MCS) and multiple immune mediated allergies. They also accepted that she cannot tolerate drug and surgery-based forms of treatment.

2. Mrs. Jane Barrie (then Chair of SHA) wrote to Gillian's MEP and MP in 1996 to say that "her treatment was ring-fenced". She has reiterated this at minuted meetings over the last decade. The Health Authority failed in their Duty of Care to ensure that their specifications were followed. The Housing Authority could have sued their architect for failing to follow the specifications on the 23 out of 26 points (two others did not apply to the site) otherwise what was the point of wasting all that time, as a result losing the government grant arguing over the architect's contract, which they then failed to enforce? **You may like to ask why.**

3. The Planning Permission for the permanent site was allowed to elapse four years after the house should have been completed as a result of delays commencing construction.

4. The Housing Authority claimed, and continue to claim, mainly via Councillor Ric Pallister, that Gillian had turned down their "Offer to House". Repeated requests for documentary proof of this has failed to produce any. We however can produce not only Gillian's 1996 acceptance of the "Offer to House" along with her solicitor's reiteration of this in 1999 and 2000, plus Mrs. Barrie's minuted reprimand of the Housing Authority for demanding repeated acceptances and additional medical evidence, along with (most tellingly) an internal letter dated the 16th of April 1999 from the Health Authority to the County Council referring to the receipt of a "positive response" from Gillian's solicitor. **You may like to ask why.** They have repeatedly claimed she failed to accept the Offer to House when they were writing internal letters referring to that very acceptance.

5. They have never actually made an "Offer of Accommodation". There never was any! Only an "Offer to House".

6. In 2002 they agreed to provide a canvas bedroom with solar heating (a Yurt) proposed by the District Nurse, to give Gillian a temporary dry heatable shelter to get her through the next winter. Gillian agreed to this. They immediately started to suggest that she would have to choose between having a water supply (a basic human right) or the yurt, using the allocated money for the yurt. Clearly not a matter for choice. Then when the yurt builder, sourced by the District Nurse, tried to access the money allocated for the yurt to purchase materials, she kept finding the money was being moved from account to account and not accessible to her. **You may like to ask why.**

In spite of this, earlier this year, Alistair Bell of the District Council e-mailed Gillian's new lay-advocate saying Gillian had "turned down all the help they had offered her" which was clearly a lie and possibly a.) an attempt to cover themselves and b.) an attempt to undermine Gillian's relationship with her new lay-advocate). **You may like to ask why.**

7. **You may like to ask - why in 1996,** when inspecting the huts for use until the house was built as planned before the next winter, the County Council's Chief Scientific Officer remarked several times "These will never last 10 years". Could it be that he was aware that Gillian and her medical advisers (employed by the authorities) and the landowner were being tricked about the authority's intention to build the house and finish it by late 1997?

8. **You may like to ask why** did South Somerset District Council turn down in excess of £80,000 worth of charitable help, including Noel Edmunds and his wife's offer to fund the architect to expedite matters?

You may like to ask why did they tell Gillian not to make charity funding applications for the top-up funding for the house, claiming it would compromise the grant funding? The grant providers said this is nonsense, they "liked joint projects".

You may like to ask why did they turn away masses of practical help from Yeovilton Naval Air Base, The Children of Colyton CP School's dads, The sixth form science group of The King Alfred School, The Territorial Army and B&Q to make the huts more habitable while it was still possible while Gillian was still in the tent, claiming they were going to do it. They did not. What little they did do made matters worse. There would not even be a floor in places if Gillian's 79 year old friend had not installed it!

Despite accepting that building work could not go on inside the huts with Gillian present, they failed to produce the promised alternative shelter and then claimed Gillian had prevented the work. On occasion, Gillian sat in snow for hours on drips while they still failed to complete work in the huts which they could have done in the summer. **You may like to ask why.** She eventually became too unwell for these shenanigans, especially in the absence of treatment and Home Care but the authorities failed to recognise the difference between "can't" and "won't". By their own definition "blaming the victim" is a form of abuse.

9. They let the temporary planning on the temporary site elapse - another failure in their duty of care. **You may like to ask why.**

10. Eventually, after Gillian had been on the site with no planning permission for over two years, a social worker, without consulting Gillian or the landowner, put in a permanent Planning Application in Gillian's name (**this is illegal**) thus upsetting the locals. (How many council house tenants have the planning for their home in their own names?!) The application was turned down. A more appropriate application for renewal of the temporary planning for the temporary site (The Stopgap) in the name of the District Council or their agent was never made. You may wish to ask why this was allowed to happen. So no attempt was made to regularise the situation at The Stopgap and safeguard Gillian's position on the site, which the authorities chose in the first place! **You may like to ask why.**

11. Now the Council Planning Department are seeking to evict Gillian from this site which is the same one this Council's Housing Department selected on the basis of advice from the County Council's Chief Scientific Officer and The Somerset Health Authority's "Specialist Adviser" after many months of research.

Bear-in-mind that if the same council, South Somerset District Council had built the permanent house in 1997, as promised, the disposition of the temporary site would be irrelevant.

Ironically, in September 2006, Councillor Ric Pallister was quoted in Disability Now Magazine saying "A court would be unlikely to approve her eviction". Why then is his council going through this process and wasting yet more public funds which could have been used to help Gillian and while it is using lack of funds as an excuse not to maintain services at The Stopgap? Pure bureaucratic thuggery.

12. The authorities know full well that **Gillian cannot be safely transported, even with maximum levels of treatment and months of preparation and aftercare.** We have letters from the Health Authority together with numerous letters from medical experts confirming the dangers of such action. There are not even any suitable medical emergency kits available in the UK at this time. The authorities have no plans to provide any treatment. Her social worker has informed her that if she refuses to be moved, they will leave her in a chair on the grass verge. Presumably so that passing exhaust fumes can finish her off. The likely political and career fall-out from Gillian being abandoned in her wheelchair and subsequent media interest and photo-opportunities is, it would seem, too embarrassing even for them.

13. Ten years ago, after much debate and consultation with experts, Gillian was kept in a tent at West Bexington for 5 1/2 months. The authorities concluded that a caravan or mobile home would

be totally unsuitable for Gillian for temporary use both on the grounds of her multiple chemical sensitivity and her other disabilities. That is why she was put in the huts, which they inspected on the site, which they chose as the better option. The authorities repeatedly re-debated this matter as the wooden huts continued to deteriorate and the permanent house remained unbuilt. They concluded in 1999 and 2002 that such accommodation was unsuitable for her. That is why **they** suggested the yurt, which Gillian agreed to and they failed to produce.

14. **You may like to ask how** they can conceivably think that years without treatment, multiple additional injuries from falls (mostly untreated) can miraculously render an unsuitable mobile home solution suddenly suitable. It is for this that they have gained permanent planning approval! **This is outrageous** when you consider they tricked us, the Press, Government Ministers, The Housing Corporation, Politicians and their Medical Experts, into believing they would provide a two-bedroom specially designed bungalow with separate storage on three quarters of an acre of covenanted organic land and for which they received and then lost government funding. **You may like to ask why.**

It is outrageous that they have kept Gillian in the freezing, leaking huts for a whole decade if they seriously believed a mobile home was an option. **You may like to ask why.**

Having written to Gillian on 13 June informing her of their intention to evict, SSDC issued a "press release" stating they are preparing to offer Gillian "medically appropriate accommodation", that with her full medical history and on the advice of medical advisers with experience of the only type of treatment exhaustively proven that Gillian can tolerate, is clearly unsuitable. She is thus likely to have to turn down their offer when it is formally made. She presently only has this press release upon which to form an opinion and thus is at risk of being falsely accused of rendering herself "voluntarily homeless".

15. The proposed mobile-home, is to be placed in the middle of an ancient orchard in a high radon area (Gillian is severely salicylate sensitive e.g. apple pollen and immune system compromised). The orchard site is in the village, near to houses and solid fuel fires. It is on a track leading to a working quarry and it appears a planning application has been made for the adjacent farmyard, piggery and agricultural chemical store. It is close to the Yeovilton Royal Navy Air Base and frequently over-flown at low level. **You may like to ask why they specifically chose this site** when it would be hard to find a worse rural location in Somerset.

This is not surprising as 10 years ago, before Gillian was moved from Dorset, Somerset County Council's Chief Scientific Officer, who had been asked to review the whole of the county, stated that there was no point looking at sites in the Keinton Mandeville area as it was totally unsuitable on many counts. Those who know about multiple chemical sensitivity will be appalled to learn that with their "medical expert's" (*sic*) blessing, the proposed structure is intended to sit on a concrete base (with its attendant petrochemicals) and be heated by fuel-oil! You may like to ask do they think he is incompetent or if not, what has changed?

You may also like to ask whether Gillian's case is being used to obtain Change of Use Planning Permission for this much-loved ancient village orchard in what is clearly an active development area. Let us also not forget that Gillian is where she is because the authorities accepted she cannot be adjacent to building work. Legally, an Offer of Accommodation has to be "suitable".

SSDC have had many representations from medical and other experts who attest to the unsuitability of the type of accommodation and the nature of the site now proposed. **You might like to ask why they have been so perverse.**

16. The Keinton Mandeville Permanent Planning Application was passed on 18 March, 2007 and much of the information provided to the planning committee by the authorities was untrue. For example, "Oliver Dowding, the land owner wants Gillian removed from the Shepton Montague site". On the contrary, we (and they) have a lovely letter from him stating just the opposite. They were also misinformed about the loss of the Housing Corporation grant. Importantly, a Housing Authority spokesman at a previous Keinton Mandeville Parish Council meeting claimed that the money allocated by The Housing Corporation for Gillian's house was clawed-back "because it was for the wrong site". What nonsense! They can't even get their stories straight when trying to offload their failure on to Gillian. They have the unmitigated gall to claim this eviction and forced transportation to an untenable mobile home is a "Humanitarian Act"!!

Rob Walrond, from Farm Crisis Network valiantly spoke on Gillian's behalf at the planning meeting, which we only belatedly discovered was taking place (again illegally as Gillian's name was used in the application – she was clearly not supposed to find out about it until it became a *fait accompli*). He was only permitted to speak for three minutes. He was not permitted to respond when information was presented which he knew to be incorrect. The committee refused to consider an environmental report about the Keinton Mandeville site claiming it arrived too late, though their web site was not functioning to enable it to be submitted in time. None of Gillian's actual medical evidence was submitted. This was undoubtedly a "railroad" job. **You may like to ask why.**

They have extensive evidence that the Keinton Mandeville site is not suitable, including evidence from their original medical advisers and the County Council's Chief Scientific Officer. They are justifying the orchard location now by using an outside adviser who admits he has no experience in Gillian's type of treatment and has not seen her proper medical history. Many of his remarks about her and the Keinton Mandeville site, and indeed the existing Shepton Montague site, are wrong. In fact, his revised report was issued after the planning meeting! **You may like to ask why.** Dr. Radcliffe's revised report was written to retrospectively ratify his initial report, written two years ago without seeing or calling for the actual medical evidence.

The inquest for another patient he advised on, Angela Jones was held in Aylesbury on June 6. Evidence of her MCS was not permitted by The Coroner! Don't let Gillian be the next one! Don't let Angela have died in vain or indeed William Rudd who died in a caravan on 18 June, 19 years ago. **It is high time the authorities learned from these unnecessary tragedies instead of effectively precipitating them.**

17. A further irony is that for two years, Councillor Ric Pallister told the press and other enquirers that progress on Gillian's housing was being held up by her inability or as he claimed, refusal to examine the County Council's Chief Scientific Officer's poster/flow diagram for building material solutions which had been prepared eight years after the house was supposed to have been completed in an attempt to move things forward. It was based entirely on information researched and provided by Gillian and agreed by the Authorities Medical Advisers and thus Gillian was familiar with. When The Scientific Officer presented it, he pointed out that Gillian would not be able to examine it herself because of the noxious inks used by the special printer and in any case the

print was too small for her to see. He made it very clear that the poster was for guidance and use by the authorities, not Gillian. Well, they certainly used it, as a delaying tactic. You may like to ask: Did they follow the carefully laid out flow diagrams when selecting a mobile home and the Keinton Mandeville site? Did they show it to their Medical Officer? Or did they ignore it proving that it was just used as a delaying tactic and again implying that the County's Chief Scientific Officer is incompetent? If he is, why do they consult him? (CSO's actual title has changed several times over the last decade). He is one of two individuals, the other being Mrs Jane Barrie from the Health Authority and now PCT who have been involved throughout - he at least has consistently tried to be helpful). **You may like to ask why he was excluded** from meetings and case conferences between 1996 and 2002, despite Gillian and her supporters pointing out that they had agreed, and indeed insisted that he was to be fully consulted at all stages of the project? In 1996, his involvement was explicitly referred to in the original "Offer to House" and was mentioned in Gillian's acceptance of this offer.

18. You may also like to ask why despite having all the evidence against mobile homes re-presented to them by ICAS 2 years ago when they first resurrected the mobile home idea (originally with a porcelain-lined chemical-free haven attached) which seems to have vanished from the current plan, have they continue to waste public funds pursuing this whilst continuing to keep Gillian in these awful huts? Vast sums of public money have been spent on wriggling out of providing what was originally promised, mostly by delaying tactics – enough to house and treat several patients for life. Clearly they got cold feet about setting a precedent with this "elite project" (*sic*) (Baroness Miller on BBC TV), despite Government support.

They have now dug themselves in so deep with their shifting quicksand of contradictory excuses that they fear honouring their promises will be an admission of failure/culpability/guilt and in this "culture of impunity" that won't do! Thus they try to blame the victim, nevertheless "A lie oft repeated does not become truth" and "More shame on them".

19. The Authorities refused independent arbitration. **You may like to ask why.**

The Authorities refused independent mediation. **You may like to ask why.**

The Local Authority Ombudsman failed to examine any of Gillian's evidence. **You may like to ask why.** His report was left unpublished and "open" contrary to the housing authority's recent claims, because he said he could not read Gillian's writing.

The Health Commission and then the Health and Parliamentary Ombudsman failed to examine Gillian's evidence in an allegedly "fast tracked" three-year investigation, claiming it would hold things up (!). In the absence of effective disabled advocacy, the complaint had to be withdrawn not because there was no complaint, but because there was no means for this disabled lady to pursue it – **You may like to ask whatever happened to her Disability Rights?** Gillian's solicitor is preparing a formal complaint against the Ombudsman about their mishandling of the case - this is being further delayed by the failure of ICAS to return Gillian's papers despite repeated requests and offers to collect them over many, many months. **You may like to ask why?**

In 2004, architect Dan Stainer-Hutchins, in an attempt to get "Past the Impasse", proposed on Gillian's behalf, a round-table meeting with all the authorities chaired by a Bishop as an "Honest

Broker". All sides would abide by its decisions and Gillian agreed she would not discuss the matter further with the press, nor sue for damages other than restitution. Dorset and Somerset Strategic Health Authority were fully in favour of this proposal. The Primary Care Trust (SSPCT) refused to respond for 5 1/2 months while Gillian still languished in the huts with no treatment. When forced to reply by the Strategic Authority, the PCT refused to participate. **You may like to ask why.**

At first the PCT grudgingly said the Architect could arrange a meeting with the Housing Authority. When he went back to the Housing Authority with dates, they announced the PCT had told them not to participate. **You may like to ask why.**

They knew the Architect intended to present proposals for regaining the Government grant so they could get the house built at no cost to them – just by rubber-stamping the application. As an alternative, he proposed we set up a Trust with or without the Council's participation(their choice) and he was confident that once plans and costings were prepared he could obtain funding from ecological investors to build a house as an educational research project, as the council originally intended. (Their "elite project") the leaseholders of the permanent site told him they would donate the lease and indicated they might help with *seed-funding* for the plans and costings, provided they were not asked to build it!

We had the offer of a Public Landlord for this "Housing Association of One".

A week after the meeting was cancelled, on the orders of the PCT who have the overall Duty of Care, the lease for the permanent site (which, lest we forget, should by then have had a seven-year-old house, maturing gardens, and recovering patient on it) was given back to the landowner, so now, conveniently, there was nowhere suitable within safe travelling distance for Gillian to go. Due to the planning lapse, she remains illegally on the temporary site in a couple of wooden huts which everyone agrees have been unfit for human habitation right from the outset. Also at this time, the Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSI) classification of the area adjacent to the permanent site was extended to cover the site itself, which would make retrieving the site and achieving planning approval for it even harder. How convenient. If they had built the house when they said they were going to, this would not have happened. Now there is nowhere for Gillian to go. **You may like to ask why.**

On 13 June 2007, an Enforcement Notice was served providing formal notification of South Somerset County Council's plan to evict Gillian before the winter. She has a month to appeal. Your letters of support sent to the addresses attached will be most helpful.

19. Even if this eviction is prevented by Court Order and with **your help**, clearly Gillian cannot continue to survive without treatment, Home Care (assessed as needing 24-hour care) in the collapsing un-heated huts. **Help!** **Nor in the extreme financial difficulties caused by the Authorities and their lies. Help!** In fact the Social Worker has stated on tape that even if Gillian gets a Court Order stopping the eviction and/or preventing her removal and transportation on health grounds, the Planning Department intend to evict her anyway. Yet another instance of the Authority's clear belief that they are above the law. **You may like to ask why.**

Employees of the Authorities who have spoken out on Gillian's behalf or supported her needs have been ignored, excluded from meetings and even instructed not to speak to Gillian. This includes

medical personnel. You may like to ask why.

At the outset, this “joint project” between the Authorities and Gillian (their term) included the garden as a research resource. Despite tremendous physical, financial and practical difficulties, Gillian has pursued this aspect on the temporary site in the increasingly extensive "portable pot garden" and already her researches into Gardening with MCS, Allergies and Disabilities are being published and archived as a research resource by educational establishments and botanic gardens throughout the world - an important matter considering the government admits to 40% of children i.e. future gardeners and garden users (having allergies).

Thus Gillian has done what was in her power to do to pursue this joint “elite project” along with providing the necessary resources and research to enable the authorities to keep their side of the bargain. This information which they have ignored, was so good it facilitated another Local Authority in producing a haven for another patient inside 6 months.

Ten years on, the MCS-International / Safe As Houses Trial Garden is likely to be lost, along with the gardener!

If you were being deliberately forced out of your home with nowhere else suitable to go, how desperate might you feel? Please support Gillian in any way you can.

**Authorities and News Editors do take note of public concerns
and numbers count!**

Thank you.

This PDF file is provided here as a public service by:

www.mcs-international.org

**Bringing the hidden dangers
of modern synthetic chemicals
out into the Light world wide**

Contact: webmaster@mcs-international.org
